

**STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT BOARD**

PROPOSED NEW REGULATION

EIB 21-27 (R)

20.2.50 Oil and Gas Sector – Ozone Precursor Pollutants

NOTICE OF FILING OF AMENDED TESTIMONY

Good morning/afternoon.

Solar Turbines Incorporated (Solar) appreciates the opportunity to provide technical testimony.

Solar is a manufacturer of industrial combustion turbines (1000-32,000 hp). Solar's fleet includes more than 16,000 combustion turbines over in 100 countries. Our domestic fleet consists of over 8000 combustion turbines in power generation, pipeline compressor, and mechanical drive applications.

Solar first submitted comments on the draft proposal in September of 2020. Per the content of the May 6, 2021 proposed rule, the NMED did make several improvements to the draft in-line with Solar's initial comments. However, there are a few additional improvements that Solar requests to the proposed rule to ensure more equitable treatment between existing reciprocating engines and turbines and to correct a technology availability/achievability assumption carried from the Pennsylvania rule that was used as a template for the turbine emissions limits.

Solar Turbines recommends NMED adjust the NO_x emission standard in the smallest turbine category in 20.2.50.113 Table 3 to match 40CFR60 Subpart KKKK emission standards for <50 MMBtu/hr new, modified or reconstructed units accordingly.

Solar appreciates the NMED raising the NO_x level in the smallest turbine category for existing sources, however, the increase is not sufficient to support the existing fleet of Saturn 10 and Saturn 20 turbines. At the proposed 50 ppm NO_x level for existing units and 25 ppm NO_x for new units, in the 1-5000 hp range, many turbines would require the addition of add-on control, namely SCR. Solar does not believe the intent of the agency is to force these small turbines into very expensive SCR retrofits. A Dry Low NO_x (DLN) retrofit is not available on the Saturn line of gas turbine. Water injection, theoretically, may be a technical option on some of the Saturn 20 installed fleet and able to meet the 50 ppm NO_x. Water injection, however, is not a technical option for many of the Saturn units so SCR would be the only technically viable option for many installed Saturns. The availability, cost, and use of large volumes of deionized water (estimated 3.5MM gallons per year per Saturn 20), especially at the remote and unmanned sites, would need considerable evaluation.

The NMED modeled the proposed ozone rule after Pennsylvania's GP-5 rule but did not adopt all of the applicability language with respect to existing sources. GP-5 does not impact pre-2013 units. In GP-5, units constructed on or after February 1, 2013, but prior to August 8, 2018 have to meet either 25 or 15 ppm NO_x depending on their size. The NMED proposed rule impacts all existing units with no consideration for date of construction. Further background on the GP-5 rule development process is that there were no turbines in Pennsylvania in the 1000-5000 hp range installed on or after February 1, 2013, but prior to August 8, 2018 so no existing units were affected. When GP-5 was being developed Solar commented that the NO_x emission standards in this size category are not technically achievable or commercially available from turbine manufacturers but since there were no affected units in Pennsylvania the PADEP, were not inclined to change the rule language. The situation becomes a problem when "cut and paste" into another states' program where there are affected units in the size category.

New Mexico has many existing turbines in the smallest size category that will be unable to meet

the proposed NOx standards without add-on control. A higher emissions level, congruent with Subpart KKKK (150 ppm NOx for existing/reconstructed and 100 ppm NOx for new), will allow for DLN where it's available to be retrofit and allow the smaller turbines, for which DLN is not available, to continue to operate. Many, if not all, of the turbines that fall into this smallest category are Subpart GG (or pre-NSPS) turbines.

Solar recommends the limits for turbines ≥ 1000 and < 5000 hp in Table 3 be modified from 50 ppm to 150 ppm NOx for existing turbines and the 25 ppm for new turbines be modified to 100 ppm NOx to match 40 CFR Subpart KKKK NOx levels.

To help New Mexico achieve their goal with the proposed rule and at the same time consider dry low NOx technology availability, Solar suggests altering the category boundary cutoff from 5000 bhp to 4000 bhp. Assuming NMED heeds the recommendation above to change NOx to 150 ppm and 100 ppm for existing and new, respectively, also changing the category cutoff to 4000 bhp would place Solar Saturns and Centaur 40 4000s, for which there is no dry low NOx option, in the small category and the Centaur 40 4500 and 4700 ratings in the middle category for which there is a dry low NOx retrofit option available.

Solar Turbines requests a compliance schedule for existing turbines tied to the timing of the next major overhaul or a compliance schedule similar to that as proposed for reciprocating engines in 20.2.50.113 B (2).

The header in Table 3 suggests a 2-year compliance timeline for existing turbines. Solar requests a compliance schedule tied to the timing of the next major overhaul or that turbines be treated similarly to reciprocating engines and be given a schedule similar to that in section 20.2.50.113 B (2).

A 2-year timeline from the effective date of rule is unrealistic unless a major routine overhaul was already planned for that timeframe. Typical major overhaul cycles run every 3.5 to 4.5 years depending on the operating hours of the turbine. To accommodate the emissions standards proposed in this rule it is anticipated, that in addition to a dry low NOx retrofit at time of overhaul, upgrades to the package, control system, fuel system, and other ancillary systems will be necessary.

Solar Turbines recommends NMED remove all references to CO from the proposed rule. Sections 20.2.50.6 and 20.2.50.112 A (2) and (3) clearly state that the scope and objective of the Part is to establish emissions standards for the specific ozone precursors: volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NOx). As such, including emission standards, monitoring, recordkeeping, reporting, and testing requirements for CO should not be included in the rulemaking.

In the event that NMED does not remove all references to CO in this proposed ozone rule, Solar recommends a level of 25 ppm for new sources in all size categories.

Solar does have one additional ask with respect to the ≥ 1000 and < 4000 bhp category.

For background - To support New Mexico in achieving the goal with the proposed rule and at the same time consider dry low NOx technology availability, in our July 28, 2021 comments, we suggested altering the category boundary cutoff from 5000 bhp to 4000 bhp. Moving the category boundary splits our Centaur 40 model ratings but places the two higher ratings, nominally around 4500 and 4700 hp, in the middle category for which there is a dry low NOx retrofit option commercially available.

Our additional ask is with respect to the suggested and implemented 4000 bhp category break. All the Centaur 40 4000 model ratings in New Mexico are what we call “standard match” so their nameplate rating is <4000 horsepower and thus will fall into the smallest source category which is appropriate from an emissions technology standpoint. The issue is that the Centaur 40 4000 model also has a 32 °F match rating and a 59 °F match rating. The nameplate rating of these versions come in at 4038 and 4081 bhp, respectively – just barely above 4000 bhp. Solar is asking that the ≥1000 and <4000 bhp category be modified to ≥1000 and <4100 bhp and the ≥4000 and <15000 bhp be modified to ≥4100 and <15000 for the “constructed and reconstructed before the effective date of the regulation” section of Table 3. The expansion of the small source category to 4100 bhp will allow the category to cover all nameplate temperature match ratings of the Centaur 40 4000 model. Implementation of this request will have no impact on existing units in New Mexico (per the September 16, 2021 proposal) but will be appreciated by Solar should another state choose to copy the New Mexico rule for their use like New Mexico has done with Pennsylvania.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of September, 2021

/s/ Leslie Witherspoon
Leslie Witherspoon
Environmental Program Manager
Environmental Programs
Solar Turbines Incorporated
9330 Sky Park Court
MZ:SP3-Q
San Diego, CA 92123-5398
P: 858.694.6609
C: 619.495.8069

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 23, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUBMIT AMENDED TESTIMONY** was served via electronic mail on the following:

1. Petitioner New Mexico Environment Department

Lara Katz, Assistant General Counsel
NMED Office of General Counsel
1190 St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
Lara.katz@state.nm.us

Andrew Knight, Assistant General Counsel
NMED Office of General Counsel
121 Tijeras Ave. NE, Suite 1000
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
Andrew.knight@state.nm.us

2. New Mexico Oil and Gas Association

Eric L. Hiser
Brandon Curtis
Jorden Hiser & Joy, PLC
5080 N. 40th St. Ste. 245
Phoenix, Arizona 85024
ehiser@jhjlawyers.com
bcurtis@jhjlawyers.com

Dalva L. Moellenberg
Gallagher & Kennedy, PA
1239 Paso de Peralta
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501-2758
DLM@gknet.com

3. "Clean Air Advocates": Conservation Voters New Mexico, Diné C.A.R.E., Earthworks, Natural Resources Defense Council, San Juan Citizens Alliance, Sierra Club, 350 New Mexico, and 350 Santa Fe

Tannis Fox
Western Environmental Law Center
409 East Palace Avenue #2
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
fox@westernlaw.org

David R. Baake
Baake Law LLC
2131 North Main Street
Las Cruces, New Mexico 88001
david@baakelaw.com

4. Environmental Defense Fund

Elizabeth deLone Paranhos
Delone Law Inc.
1555 Jennine Place
Boulder, Colorado 80304
elizabeth@delonelaw.com

5. Center for Civic Policy and NAVA Education Project

Daniel Jaynes, clinical law student
Keifer Johnson, clinical law student
Gabriel Pacyniak, supervising attorney
Natural Resources & Environmental Law Clinic
University of New Mexico
1117 Stanford Dr NE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106
jaynesda@law.unm.edu
johnsoke@law.unm.edu
pacyniak@law.unm.edu

6. National Park Service

Lisa Devore, Air Quality Specialist, Intermountain Region
Lisa_devore@nps.gov

John Vimont, Branch Chief, Air Resources Division
John_Vimont@nps.gov

7. WildEarth Guardians

Matthew A. Nykiel
3798 Marshal St., Ste. 8
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033
mnykiel@wildearthguardians.org

Daniel L. Timmons
301 N. Guadalupe Street, Ste. 201
Santa Fe, NM 87501
dtimmons@wildearthguardians.org

8. New Mexico Environmental Law Center

Charles de Saillan
New Mexico Environmental Law Center
1405 Luisa Street, Ste. 5
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505-4074
cdesaillan@nmelc.org

9. Independent Petroleum Association of New Mexico

Louis W. Rose
Kari Olson
Ricardo S. Gonzales
Montgomery & Andrews, PA
P.O. Box 2307
Santa Fe, NM 87504-2307
(505) 982-3873
lrose@montand.com
kolson@montand.com
rgonzales@montand.com

10. Oxy USA Inc.

J. Scott Janoe
Baker Botts L.L.P.
910 Louisiana Street
Houston, Texas 77002
scott.janoe@bakerbotts.com

11. The Gas Compressor Association

Jeffrey Holmstead
Tim Wilkins
Whit Swift
Bracewell LLP
111 Congress Avenue, Suite 2300
Austin, Texas 78701
jeff.holmstead@bracewell.com
tim.wilkins@bracewell.com
whit.swift@bracewell.com

Stuart R. Butzier
Christina C. Sheehan
Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.
Post Office Box 2168
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103-2162
srb@modrall.com
ccs@modrall.com

12. Kinder Morgan, Inc., El Paso Natural Gas Company, L.L.C., TransColorado Gas Transmission Co., LLC, and Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, LLC

Ana Maria Gutierrez
Hogan Lovells US LLP
1601 Wewatta Street, Suite 900
Denver, CO 80202
ana.gutierrez@hoganlovells.com

Sandra Milena McCarthy
Hogan Lovells US LLP
Columbia Square
555 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004
sandra.mccarthy@hoganlovells.com

13. “Commercial Disposal Group”: NGL Energy Partners LP, Solaris Water Midstream, Owl SWD Operating, LLC, Goodnight Midstream, LLC, and 3 Bear Delaware Operating—NM, LLC

Christopher J. Neumann
Gregory R. Tan
Casey Shpall
Counsel for NGL, Solaris, Owl and Goodnight
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
1144 Fifteenth Street, Suite 3300
Denver, CO 80202
neumannc@gtlaw.com
tangr@gtlaw.com
shpallc@gtlaw.com

Matthias L. Sayer
Additional Counsel for NGL Energy Partners LP
VP Legal – Regulatory Compliance
125 Lincoln Ave., Suite 222
Santa Fe, NM 87501
Matthias.Sayer@nglep.com

Christopher L. Colclasure
Counsel for 3 Bear Delaware Operating—NM, LLC
Beatty & Wozniak, P.C.
216 16th Street, Suite 1100
Denver, CO 80202
ccolclasure@bwenergyllaw.com

14. Environmental Improvement Board Counsel

Karla Soloria
New Mexico Office of the Attorney General
P.O. Box 1508
Santa Fe, NM 87504
ksoloria@nmag.gov

15. Environmental Improvement Board Hearing Officer

Felicia L. Orth
Felicia.L.Orth@gmail.com

16. Environmental Improvement Board Administrator

Pamela Jones
Environmental Improvement Board
1190 S. Saint Francis Dr., S-2104
Santa Fe, NM 87505
Phone: (505) 660-3405
Email: pamela.jones@state.nm.us

/s/ Leslie Witherspoon
Leslie Witherspoon